

23.05.2022

1

Lemma 2 Assume δ is stable, $p \in S(M)$ or $p \in S_\sigma(M)$. Then

(1) $p(x)$ has a δ -definition $\psi(y)$, that is a positive δ -formula

(2) If $A \subseteq M \leftarrow |A|^+$ -saturated, then $\exists c_1, c_2, \dots \in M \bigwedge_i c_i \models P|_{A \subseteq c_i}$
and ψ from (1) is a positive Boolean combination of formulas $\delta(c_i, x), i < \omega$

Proof Let $N < \omega$ s.t.

$$(i) \neg (\exists c_i, a_i, i \leq N) \left(\bigwedge_{i < j} \models \delta(c_i, a_j) \Leftrightarrow i < j \right)$$

$$(ii) \neg (\exists c_i, b_i, i \leq N) \left(\bigwedge_{i < j} \models \neg \delta(c_i, b_j) \Leftrightarrow i < j \right)$$

Let $c^* \models p$. So: $\delta(x, a) \in p \Leftrightarrow \models \delta(c^*, a)$ for $a \in M$.

We shall define $c_i \subseteq M, A_i, B_i \subseteq M, i < \omega$ as follows:

• $c_0 \subseteq M$ arbitrary ($c_0 \models P|_A$)

• Suppose we have $c_0, \dots, c_n, A_0, \dots, A_n, B_0, \dots, B_n$. We shall find $c_{n+1}, A_{n+1}, B_{n+1}$.

• $A_{n+1} \subseteq M$ s.t. $\forall W \subseteq \{0, \dots, n\}$ if $(\exists a \in M) \left(\bigwedge_{j \in W} \models \delta(c_j, a) \text{ and } \models \neg \delta(c^*, a) \right)$

then \exists such an $a_W \in A_{n+1}$

• $B_{n+1} \subseteq M$ s.t. $\forall W \subseteq \{0, \dots, n\}$ if $(\exists b \in M) \left(\bigwedge_{j \in W} \models \neg \delta(c_j, b) \text{ and } \models \delta(c^*, b) \right)$

then \exists such an $b_W \in B_{n+1}$.

• for $b \in B_{\leq n+1} \models \delta(c^*, b)$, for $a \in A_{\leq n+1} \models \neg \delta(c^*, a)$
We may assume

• $c_{n+1} \in M$ s.t. $(\forall a \in A_{\leq n+1}) (\forall b \in B_{\leq n+1}) (\models \delta(c_{n+1}, b) \text{ and } \models \neg \delta(c_{n+1}, a))$

[for (2): $c_{n+1} \models P|_{A_{\leq n+1} B_{\leq n+1}}$ is enough]

• So for $a \in A_{\leq n}, b \in B_{\leq n} \models \neg \delta(c_n, a) \wedge \delta(c_n, b)$

• if $0 \leq i_0 < \dots < i_n < \omega$ and $\exists a \in M$

$$\models \delta(c_{i_0}, a) \wedge \delta(c_{i_1}, a) \wedge \dots \wedge \delta(c_{i_n}, a) \wedge \neg \delta(c^*, a)$$

then $\exists d_0, \dots, d_n \in M (\forall 0 \leq j, r \leq n) (\models \delta(c_{i_j}, d_r) \Leftrightarrow j \leq r)$

pf Let $W_r = \{i_0, \dots, i_{r-1}\} \subseteq \{i_0, \dots, i_n\}$. Let $d_r = a_{W_r} \in A_{(i_{r-1})+1}^{2 \text{ st.}}$

$$\models \delta(c_{i_0}, d_r) \wedge \dots \wedge \delta(c_{i_{r-1}}, d_r) \wedge \neg \delta(c^*, d_r), \models \neg \delta(c_j, d_r) \text{ for all } j > i_{r-1}$$

• symmetrically: if $0 \leq i_0 < \dots < i_n < \omega$ and $\exists b \in M$

$$\models \neg \delta(c_{i_0}, b) \wedge \dots \wedge \neg \delta(c_{i_n}, b) \wedge \delta(c^*, b)$$

then $\exists d_0, \dots, d_m \in M \quad \forall 0 \leq j, r \leq n \quad (\models \neg \delta(c_{i_j}, d_r) \Leftrightarrow j < r)$

• Therefore:

(a) If $a \in M$ and $\exists W \subseteq \{0, \dots, 2N\}$ s.t. $|W| = N+1$ and $\bigwedge_{i \in W} \models \delta(c_i, a)$, then $\models \delta(c^*, a)$

(b) If $a \in M$ and $\exists W \subseteq \{0, \dots, 2N\}$ s.t. $|W| = N+1$ and $\bigwedge_{i \in W} \models \neg \delta(c_i, a)$, then $\models \neg \delta(c^*, a)$

• Let $a \in M$. $\delta(x, a) \in \mathcal{P} \Leftrightarrow \models \delta(c^*, a) \Leftrightarrow \bigvee_{\substack{W \subseteq \{0, \dots, 2N\} \\ |W| = N+1}} \bigwedge_{i \in W} \delta(c_i, a)$

put $y : \psi(c, y)$

• So $\forall a \in M \quad (\delta(x, a) \in \mathcal{P} \Leftrightarrow \models \psi(c_{\leq 2N}, a))$: α . delta definition of \mathcal{P} . Lemma \blacksquare

Corollary Let $\delta \in L$. \square :

- (1) $\delta(x, y)$: stable
- (2) $\forall M \forall \mathcal{P} \in \mathcal{S}(M)$ \mathcal{P} has a δ -definition
- (3) $(\forall \lambda > \lambda_0) (\forall A \subseteq M) (|S_\delta(A)| \leq \lambda) \Leftrightarrow (\forall \lambda > \lambda_0) (\forall A \subseteq M) (|S_\delta(A)| \leq \lambda)$
- (4) $(\exists \lambda > \lambda_0) \dots$ " " " "

Proof (1) \Rightarrow (2) : by lemma 2

• (2) \Rightarrow (3) $A \subseteq M \subseteq M$, $|S_\delta(A)| \leq |S_\delta(M)| \leq \|M\| \leq \lambda$

\uparrow
there are $\leq \|M\|$
 δ -definitions, M

• (3) \Rightarrow (4) trivial

(ii) \Rightarrow (1) by contradiction: suppose δ has order property. Let $\lambda \geq \aleph_0$.

3

We shall find A s.t. $|A| \leq \lambda$ and $|S_\delta(A)| > \lambda$

• $(\exists a_i, b_i, i < \omega)(\neq \delta(a_i, b_j) \Leftrightarrow i < j)$, choose $(I, \leq) \neq \text{DLO}, |I| > \lambda$

where $\exists J \subseteq I : J$ dense. By compactness $|J| \leq \lambda$

$$(\exists a_i, b_i, i \in I) \wedge_{i, j \in I} (\neq \delta(a_i, b_j) \Leftrightarrow i < j)$$

• δ defines an order:

$$0 < \frac{a_j}{b_i} < 0 \leq 0 < \dots$$

$i \in I \geq j$

Let $A = \{b_j : j \in J\}$, then $|A| \leq \lambda$ and $|S_\delta(A)| > \lambda$.

When $i_1 < i_2 \in I$ then $\text{tp}_\delta(a_{i_1}/A) \neq \text{tp}_\delta(a_{i_2}/A)$,
just choose $j \in J$ s.t. $i_1 < j < i_2$. ▀

Corollary (1) T -stable $\Leftrightarrow (\forall \delta \in L)(\delta$ -stable in $T)$

(2) T -stable and $\lambda^{\text{III}} = \lambda$, then T - λ -stable

Proof (1) \Leftrightarrow T - λ -stable. Then $\forall A \in \mathcal{M}, |A| \leq \lambda, |S_\delta(A)| \leq |S(A)| \leq \lambda$, so by

previous corollary δ -stable.

• (\Leftarrow) later...

(2) $|T| = \aleph_0$, assume $\lambda = \lambda^{\aleph_0}$. Let $|A| \leq \lambda$, ~~$|S(A)| \leq \lambda$~~

$$S(A) \xrightarrow{\lambda^{-1}} \langle p|_\delta : \delta \in L \rangle \in \prod_{\delta \in L} S_\delta(A)$$

$$|\prod_{\delta \in L} S_\delta(A)| \leq \lambda^{\aleph_0} = \lambda \rightsquigarrow |S(A)| \leq \lambda$$

(\Leftarrow) Assume $\forall \delta \in L$ δ -stable in T . Then $|S(A)| \leq |\prod_{\delta \in L} S_\delta(A)| \leq \lambda^{\aleph_0}$

\Downarrow
 T stable in λ if $\lambda^{\aleph_0} = \lambda$ ▀

Def (1) $\delta(x, y) \in L$ has strict order property (SOP)

if $(\exists b_i, i < \omega) (\delta(\mathcal{M}, b_0) \neq \delta(\mathcal{M}, b_1) \neq \dots)$

$$[\Leftrightarrow \delta(b_0, \mathcal{M}) \neq \delta(b_1, \mathcal{M}) \neq \dots]$$

↑
alternating
definition

T has SOP $\Leftrightarrow (\exists \delta \in L) (\delta \text{ has SOP})$

(2) δ has independence property (IP)

if $(\exists b_i, i < \omega) \{ \delta(\mathcal{M}, b_i) : i < \omega \}$ is independent

T has IP $\Leftrightarrow (\exists \delta \in L) (\delta \text{ has IP})$

Theorem (Shelah)

T has OP $\Leftrightarrow T$ has SOP or T has IP
(is unstable)

Examples • $DLO_0 = Th(\mathbb{Q}, \leq)$ has SOP : $\varphi(x, y) = x \leq y$
has SOP,

but DLO_0 has NIP

• Random graph has IP and NSOP

~~TYPES AND DEFINABLE~~

TYPES & TYPE-DEFINABLE SETS.

$p(\bar{x})$: a type $\sim \{ a \in \mathcal{M}^n : a \models p \}$: a type-definable set (over A)
 $|\bar{x}| = n$ (over A)
 $= \bigcap_{\varphi \in p} \varphi(\mathcal{M})$

$$p = \{ \varphi_i : i \in I \} \rightarrow \left(\bigwedge_{i \in I} \varphi_i \right) (\mathcal{M})$$

Let $p(\bar{x}), q(\bar{x})$: types, $(p \wedge q)(\bar{x}) = (p \cup q)(\bar{x})$,

$$(p \vee q)(\bar{x}) = \{ (\bigwedge p_0) \vee (\bigwedge q_0) (\bar{x}) : p_0 \in p, q_0 \in q, \text{finite} \}$$

Fact (1) $(p \wedge q)(\mathcal{M}) = p(\mathcal{M}) \cap q(\mathcal{M})$

(2) $(p \vee q)(\mathcal{M}) = p(\mathcal{M}) \cup q(\mathcal{M})$

$$(\exists \bar{y} p)(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) = \exists \bar{y} \bigwedge_i \varphi_i(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \left\{ \exists \bar{y} \bigwedge p_0(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) : p_0 \in P_{\text{finite}} \right\}$$

where $p = \bigwedge \varphi_i : i \in I$

$$(3) (\exists \bar{y} p)(\mathcal{M}) = \pi_{\bar{x}} \left(p(\mathcal{M}) \right)_{\mathcal{M}^{\bar{x}} \times \mathcal{M}^{\bar{y}}}$$

$$(4) (\forall \bar{y} p)(\mathcal{M}) = \mathcal{M}^{\bar{x}} \setminus \pi_{\bar{x}}(\mathcal{M}^{\bar{x}\bar{y}} \setminus p(\mathcal{M}))$$

Remark $\mathcal{M} \setminus p(\mathcal{M})$ is usually not type-definable

Let $\Delta = \{ \varphi_0(x, y) \dots \varphi_n(x, y) \} \in L$
 \downarrow
 Δ -formula, Δ -type

Sketch trick There's formula $\delta(x, \bar{y})$ s.t. "instances of formulas Δ "
 = "instances of δ " over any set A of power ≥ 2 .

Proof $\delta = \delta(x, y, z_0, \dots, z_n) =$

$$\begin{cases} \varphi_0(x, y), & \text{when } z_0 = z_1 \\ \varphi_1(x, y), & \text{when } z_0 \neq z_1 \wedge z_0 = z_2 \\ \varphi_2(x, y), & \text{when } z_0 \neq z_1, z_2 \wedge z_0 = z_3 \\ \vdots \\ \varphi_n(x, y), & \text{when } z_0 \neq z_1, \dots, z_n \end{cases}$$

• $\delta(\mathcal{M}, a, \bar{c}) = \varphi_i(\mathcal{M}, a)$ for some $i \in \{0, \dots, n\}$

• For every $a \in A$, every $i \in \{0, \dots, n\}$ $\exists \bar{c} \in A$ $\varphi_i(\mathcal{M}, a) = \delta(\mathcal{M}, a, \bar{c})$

■